

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,515	10/22/2001	Krishnaswamy Ramkumar	CY 0025	4777
7	590 08/27/2002			
Bradley T. Sako			EXAMINER	
WALKER & S Suite 235	AKO, LLP	KILDAY, LISA A		
300 South First Street San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5411 5050, 071	73113		2829 DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	-	Application No.	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/054,515	RAMKUMAR, KRIS	SHNASWAMA		
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>		
		Lisa A Kilday	2829			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport		with the correspondence add	dress		
A SHOTHE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	October 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· _	on of Claims	_				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
i	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
-	on Papers	election requirement.		•		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examine	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).	Stage		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
	)					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( of Informal Patent Application (PTC			
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/054,515

Art Unit: 2829

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- -Species I: method of forming an EEPROM (fig. 1 &2, claims 1-6)
- -Species II: method of forming a SONOS device (fig. 3, 4F, 6, 7, claims 7-20).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2829

Page 3

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Bradley Sako on 8/20/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0957. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry, can be reached on (703) 308-1680. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3432. MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

Lisa Kilday

LAK

8/20/02

MICHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Mulh